

REMARKS

Applicants, by the amendments presented above and the arguments presented below, have made a concerted effort to present claims which clearly define over the prior art of record, and thus to place this case in condition for allowance. Claims 1-43 are currently pending. Claim 43 is newly added herein.

In the Office Action dated April 26, 2004, claims 1-42 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of the obviousness-type double patenting of the claims of United States Patent No. 6,692,206. In the "*Response To Office Action Dated April 26, 2004*", which Applicants filed on May 18, 2004, Applicants submitted therewith a Terminal Disclaimer which Applicants stated would overcome the rejection of claims 1-42 and, therefore, Applicants respectfully requested reconsideration and allowance of claims 1-42.

In this Supplemental Response and Amendment to the Office Action Dated April 26, 2004, Applicants have added new independent claim 43. Applicants state that independent claim 43 is allowable in view of the known prior art disclosed to the Examiner. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests consideration and allowance of new independent claim 43.

Should the Examiner be of the position that additional amendments are required to place the application in condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact one of the undersigned attorneys at (312) 704-1890.

TREXLER, BUSHNELL, GIANGIORGI,
BLACKSTONE & MARR, LTD.

Date: August 17, 2001

By:


Richard A. Giangiorgi, Reg. No. 24,284
James R. Foley, Reg. No. 39,979
James A. O'Malley, Reg. No. 45,952

Attorneys for Applicants and Assignee
Textron Inc.

105 W. Adams Street
Suite 3600
Chicago, Illinois 60603-6299
(312) 704-1890

702077